Prudential Indicators

The Prudential Code

The current system of capital finance is CIPFA's Prudential Code.

It is a professional Code of practice to support the decisions local authorities have to make to plan for capital investment. Originally introduced in 2004, its latest update was in 2017. Authorities are required by regulation to have regard to the Prudential Code when carrying out their duties under Part I of the Local Government Act 2003 i.e. compliance with the Code is a statutory requirement.

Objectives of the Code

The key objectives of the Code are:-

- To ensure that capital expenditure plans are affordable, prudent and sustainable
- That Treasury Management decisions are taken in accordance with good professional practice
- That local strategic planning, asset management planning and proper option appraisal are supported
- To provide a clear and transparent framework to ensure accountability

Required Prudential Indicators

The indicators required are shown below, with further explanation as to their meaning:

1(a). External Debt - Operational Boundary

The most likely, prudent view of the level of gross external indebtedness. External debt includes both borrowing and long term liabilities (e.g. finance leases). It encompasses all borrowing, whether for capital or revenue purposes.

1(b). External Debt - The Authorised Limit

The upper limit on the level of gross external indebtedness, which must not be breached without Council approval. It is the worst-case scenario. It reflects the level of borrowing which, while not desired, could be afforded but may not be sustainable. Any breach must be reported to the executive decision making body, indicating the reason for the breach and the corrective action undertaken or required to be taken.

1(c). External Debt - Actual External Debt

The indicator for actual external debt will not be directly comparable to the operational boundary and authorised limit, since the actual external debt will reflect the actual position at one point in time.

2. Capital Financing Requirement (CFR)

The Capital Financing requirement (CFR) replaced the ‘Credit Ceiling’ measure of the 1989, Local Government and Housing Act. It measures an authority’s underlying need to borrow or use other long-term liabilities, to pay for capital expenditure.

3. Capital Expenditure

The level of capital expenditure incurred and likely to be incurred in future years. This is to be based on an accruals basis and on the definition of capital expenditure.

4. Gross External Borrowing and the Capital Financing Requirement

The level of external borrowing is required to be compared to the Capital Financing Requirement which represents the underlying need to borrow. Requires that borrowing in the medium term can only be for capital purposes.

5. Maturity Structure of Borrowing

Local Authority debt portfolios consist of a number of loans with differing maturities. Setting limits assists in ensuring any new borrowing in particular when combined with existing borrowing does not result in large concentrations of borrowing maturing in a short period of time.

6. Principal sums invested for greater than one year

This indicator measures the exposure of a local authority to investing for periods of greater than one year.

Locally determined Prudential Indicators

7. Ratio of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Budget Stream

This indicator is a measure of affordability of historic and future capital investment plans. It identifies the trend in the cost of capital financing which include:

- interest payable on borrowing and receivable on investments
- penalties or any benefits receivable on early repayment of debt
- prudent revenue budget provision for repayment of capital expenditure paid for by borrowing
- reimbursement of borrowing costs from directorates in respect of Invest to Save schemes.

For the General Fund, the net revenue stream is the amount to be met from non-specific WG grants and Council Tax, whilst for the HRA it is the amount to be met from rent payers.

8. Capital financing costs expressed as a ratio Controllable Budget

Addresses limitations in the ratio above which:

- does not take into account the fact that some of the Council's revenue budget is non-controllable, delegated or protected
- is impacted by transfers in and out of the settlement
- includes investment income which is highly unpredictable, particularly in future years
- does not reflect gross capital financing costs for schemes where additional borrowing is undertaken to be repaid from within Directorate budgets e.g. invest to save or invest to earn schemes.

Accordingly an additional indicator is developed for the General Fund showing the ratio of capital financing costs of the Council expressed as a percentage of its controllable budget, excluding investment income: